

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/687,662	10/12/2000	Donald F. Gordon	19880-004010	7766
56015	7590 08/11/2006		EXAMINER	
PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP/			BROWN, RUEBEN M	
	ENT SERVICES, LLC		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE				TATER NOMBER
SUITE 100			2623	
SHREWSBUF	RY, NJ 07702		D. TT	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
065 - 4 - 4' 0	09/687,662	GORDON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
1	Reuben M. Brown	2623
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Mar</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of th	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		•
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
P) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 5/8/06 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant's main argument is that Fries does not read on continual. Examine respectfully disagrees and asserts that periodic carousel in Fries reads on continual. Examiner points out that the claim does not require that the EPG is broadcast all of eth time, without any stoppage, or instance.

Applicant argues that Aristides teaches away from the present invention. However, examine points out that the reference is specifically directed to solving the problem of a user requesting an EPG page and thus does read on the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2623

3. Claims 1-2, 6-13 & 16-20, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Fries, (US-PGPUB 2002/0035728 A1), in view of Aristides (U.S. Pat # 5,657,072).

Considering claim 1, the amended claimed method for providing program data, comprising:

'broadcasting a plurality of IPG pages', reads on the disclosure in Fries (Para 0031-0033, 0042, 0098-0099 & 0128). In particular, Fries teaches that a headend 22 broadcasts program guide data, using standard 6 – MHz channels, to a plurality of STB 28.

As for the amended claimed feature of broadcasting continually, Fries does teaches that the IP pages are transited as a broadcast carousel, which reads on 'continually', Para 0032 & 0057.

Regarding the claimed, 'receiving a request message from the terminal, a request message for an IPG page', Fries (Para 0073 & Para 0094-0095), teaches a user interaction with an IPG that transmits a page to the user. However, Fries does not explicitly teach that a request is received 'from the terminal', as recited. Nevertheless Aristides, which is in the same field of endeavor teaches that like Fries, IPG data may also be periodically transmitted to subscriber, (col. 7, lines 59-67 thru col. 8, lines 1-15 & col. 8, lines 35-55). However, Aristides goes on to

Art Unit: 2623

teach that IPG pages may be transmitted to a subscriber upon request from the subscriber, col. 7, lines 15-20; col. 8, lines 19-24 & col. 8, lines 55-62.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Fries with the teachings of Aristides, for the desirable advantage of the user interface avoiding some of the potential delays for reception of requested data during peak times, since most of the EPG data is transmitted to the user, during non-peak times, as taught by Aristides, col. 8, lines 62-67 thru col. 9, lines 1-5.

'encoding the requested IPG page and assigning the encoded IPG page a particular PID', reads on the combination of disclosure in Fries that the EPG may be transmitted in MPEG format and is associated with a PID, Para 0062, Para 0098 & Para 0100 and Aristides, which teaches a terminal requesting/receiving EPG pages that was not previously stored in the instant terminal.

'sending the encoded a limited number of times in response to receiving the request', reads on the disclosure that that IPG pages are sent in a MPEG carousel, a certain number of times, for instance four times, see Para 0057.

As for the claimed only a guide, the feature reads on Fries Para 0072.

Considering claim 2, as for sending the page one time, Fries teaches that number of times the page is placed in the carousel, depends upon the bandwidth of the carousel, and that it "may"

Art Unit: 2623

be more than once. The disclosed limitation of "may" in Fries, suggests that the page may be sent only once, in at least one circumstance.

Considering claim 6, the claimed feature reads on sending an encoded IPG page each time a subscriber makes such a requests, and is met by the combination of Fries & Aristides.

Considering claims 7-8, 11-12 & 16-18, see Fries, Para 0042-Para 0045 & Para 0062.

Considering claims 9-10, Fries teaches in-band and out-of-band transmission, Para 0032-Para 0033, Para 0037 & Par 0041.

Considering claim 13, the claimed elements of a method for regenerating an IPG correspond with subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of claim 1, and is likewise analyzed.

Considering claim 19, the claimed video encoder operative to encode at least a guide portion of a requested IPG and generate a requested guide stream, which includes a limited number of encoded pages, reads on the discussion in Fries that that IPG pages are transmitted to the subscriber as a carousel of data, using an MPEG transport stream and the combination of database server 70 of Aristides, as discussed in the rejection of claim 1. The number of pages is limited by the frequency of transmission of the pages and available bandwidth; see Para 0058 & 0174, which reads on the claimed feature of 'limited number of encoded pages'. The claimed

Art Unit: 2623

video encoder, transport multiplexor and modulator, are included in the server 46, Fries; see Fig. 1 & Fig. 2, which display multiplexing/modulating technology (Para 0031-0033, 0170).

Considering claim 20, the claimed session manager operative to receive a request message for the requested IPG page and direct the transport multiplexor to multiplex the requested guide stream into the transport stream, reads on the operation of the database server 70 in Aristides, which receives the subscriber's request for IPG data and the transmitter discussed in Fries, with respect to claim 19.

4. Claims 3-5, 14-15 & 21, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fries & Aristides, in view of Rocher, (U.S. Pat # 3,754,211).

Considering claim 3-5 & 14-15 & 21, Fries does not teach transmitting an ACK signal when a page is received. However, Rocher teaches transmitting an ACK signal, for the receiver to acknowledge receipt of a data transmission. After the transmitter receives the ACK signal, the next data block is transmitted, col. 4, lines 35-45. If the ACK signal is not received within a certain time window, then the transmitter assumed there has been an error, and resends the data, col. 12, lines 2-10. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Fries with known technology of halting transmission of data once an ACK signal has been received from a receiver and resending the data at least once, if the ACK signal is not received, for the desirable advantage of insuring reception of a transmitted block of data, as taught by Rocher.

Art Unit: 2623

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/687,662 Page 8

Art Unit: 2623

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(571) 273-7290 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

,

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reuben M. Brown whose telephone number is (571) 272-7290. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-6:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Reuben M. Brown

HAITRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER